

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,461	11/17/2006	Helmut Meyer	60469-215; OT-5043A	3912
IZ II Dt.l	7590 12/03/2007		EXAMINER	
Karin H Butchko Carlson Gaskey & Olds			BIDWELL, JAMES R	
Suite 350 400 W Maple Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Birmingham, MI 48009		3651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/538,461	MEYER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James R. Bidwell	3651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 N	lovember 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/10/2005.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/538,461

Art Unit: 3651

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 1-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riley (U.S. Patent 2,641,351).

Riley shows a passenger conveyor system having a plurality of steps 1 movable in a loop, a drive member 43, a step chain having a plurality of step chain links 4 with teeth 22 that engage the drive member and the number of links on one side of the steps is equal to the number of steps. See Figure 3.

Re claim 2, adjacent steps are connected at an interface, which extends across a portion of the steps. See Figures 3 and 5.

Re claim 3, Figure 3 shows teeth 22 on an integral piece.

Re claim 4, shown is an attachment member 6, which is a bearing.

Re claim 5, shown are a tread 3 and a riser 9 and the steps move along an arc.

Re claims 6 and 7, a constant spacing would be maintained due to the shown fixed connection between steps.

Re claim 9, the teeth 22 have a constant pitch.

Re claim 10, each piece is a single piece of metal.

Re claim 11, it is inherent to use metal from this well-known group.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by El Taher (U.S. Patent 4,257,515).

Application/Control Number: 10/538,461

Art Unit: 3651

El Taher shows a passenger conveyor system 10 having a plurality of steps 18 movable in a loop, a drive member 38, a plurality of step chain links 24 which engage drive member 38 and the links move along a constant radius arc as the steps transition between an inclined portion and a landing portion. See Figure 1.

Re claim 14, there is a bearing at an interface 26 between links.

Re claim 15, the links equal the number of steps.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riley in view of El Taher.

Riley does not show the drive mechanism located in an inclined portion. However, El Taher shows in Figure 1 drive 38 located in an inclined portion. To do such with Riley would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as there might be more room in the inclined sections to locate a drive.

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Bidwell whose telephone number is (571)272-6910.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene O. Crawford, can be reached on 571-272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JRB

10/26/2007

AMES R. BIDWELL PRIMARY EXMANNER GROUP 3651